

CORRIGENDUM

Volume **191**, Number 2 (1997), in Article No. JA976873, “Quasi-Tilting Modules and Counter Equivalences,” by Riccardo Colpi, Gabriella D’Este, and Alberto Tonolo, pages 461–494: On page 466, lines 4 and 5, delete the following statement which is not correct: “Note that in the above definition we cannot replace condition (iii) by the dual of Definition 1.1 (iii) (see Example 5.3 (c)).”

On page 484, the second part of condition (c) in Example 5.3 is not correct, and we should replace condition (c) by the following condition: “(c) P is a cotilting module.” We refer to a forthcoming paper by Angeleri Hügel *et al.* for the proof of the following interesting result on cotilting modules: “For any ring R , any cotilting module C , and any injective module W of $R\text{-Mod}$, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$, where C_0 and C_1 are direct summands of direct products of copies of C .” [ATT, Proposition 2.3]

On page 486, lines 17–25, delete the eight lines after the proof that P is a cotilting module. The mistake made in this part is contained in the following statement: “This observation and our hypotheses on P_0 and P_1 imply that $P_0 \oplus L_1 \in \text{Gen}(P)$, while $P_1 \oplus L_0 \notin \text{Gen}(P)$.” In fact, a direct summand L_1 of a direct product of copies of P does not necessarily belong to $\text{Gen}(P)$.

REFERENCE

[ATT] L. Angeleri Hügel, A. Tonolo, and J. Trlifaj, Tilting preenvelopes and cotilting precovers, to appear.

This corrigendum is Article No. JA987616.